

The below statement  
to you doesn't begin  
to express my rage.

I just came from a  
chat room where  
every other person  
entering the room  
was enraged by this  
hideous abuse of our  
public airwaves.  
You may think there  
is little  
consequence to this,  
but I can tell you  
that many web site  
operators like  
myself are  
organizing boycott  
sites to not only  
boycott sinclair  
sponsors (for 2  
years), but also to  
complain that the  
FCC is a toothless  
tiger that simply  
doesn't do its job.

When do you people  
care more about the  
health of your  
country, than the  
health of the party  
that appoints you?

Sinclair  
Broadcasting's  
decision to force  
their stations to  
air an anti-Kerry  
documentary days  
before the election  
is a clear example  
of the dangers of  
media consolidation.

Sinclair uses the  
public airwaves free  
of charge, and is  
obligated by law to  
serve the public  
interest. But when  
large companies  
control the  
airwaves, we get  
more of what's good  
for the bottom line  
and less of what we  
need for our  
democracy. Instead  
of something  
produced at "News  
Central" far away,  
it's more important

that we see real  
people from our own  
communities and more  
substantive news  
about issues that  
matter.

Sinclair's actions  
show why we need to  
strengthen media  
ownership rules, not  
weaken them. They  
show why the license  
renewal process  
needs to involve  
more than a returned  
postcard. Thank you.